

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,944	09/22/2003	Sanjay Rastogi	P03118	1627
23702 Bausch & Lom	7590 02/02/200 b Incorporated	EXAMINER		
One Bausch &	Lomb Place		VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
Rochester, NY 14604-2701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,944		RASTOGI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732		

	Mathled D. Vargot	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply orighthan three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	OTE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betomorphisms.</li> </ul>	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	• • •	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ompliant Amendment	(I TOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.56							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-56</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	,					
13.  Other:		M. Vaugst Mathieu D. Vargot					
		Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1732					
	•	1/20/07					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The aspects of the motion of the lens being in a first direction and then a second direction at a large angle to the first constitutes a new issue at this point requiring additional search. Ie, such constitutes more than a simple rocking motion.